

US 2 / Rice Road Intersection - Safety Improvements
RFP Questions and Answers # 2 - July 7, 2011

KEY: New/ Updated

Question or RFI #	RFP Reference	Question / RFI	Date Received	Answer
1	ITP 3.1.2	MOT section page limits of 4 pages for narrative and plans is not sufficient space. Can the plans be outside the page limit?	6/7/2011	ITP page 16 lines 1-3 indicate that page limits are specific to the narratives and organizational charts. No page limit for the preliminary Baseline contract schedule, plans or other technical data provided in each section.
2	N/A	Category 1 & Category 2 utilities are not identified anywhere on the plans of in chapter 2	6/7/2011	See General Provisions Section 1-07.17(4).2 Lines 22-25 of the RFP documents.
3	N/A	May we obtain the CAD file(s) that include R/W limits?	6/13/2011	
4	2.7.3.1	May we obtain the paving report that is referenced in Section 2.7.3.1?	6/13/2011	Appendix G4 has been included by Addendum # 1
5	2.22.4.1.4	What are the dates for "Gold Bar Rush Rally", stated in Section 2.22.4.1.4?	6/13/2011	See Addendum # 1, The name of the event has been changed to Gold Bar-Gold Dust Days. This event usually takes place at the end of July every year. The Design-Builder shall coordinate with the City of Gold Bar for the exact timing of the event.
6	N/A	Mr. Sid image is referenced in multiple CAD files, however, it was not provided. May we obtain the Mr. Sid image file(s) for the work area?	6/13/2011	This file has been provided as part of the RFP in Appendix A2 "Aerial Photo.dgn" .
7	2.15.4.1.7	RFP Chapter 2.15.4.1.7 references significant trees and states existing vegetation shall be preserved and protected to the greatest extent possible. Looking at aerial photos it does not appear that there is much existing vegetation and few significant trees that will be impacted by construction. We question whether there are specific significant trees and/or existing vegetation that WSDOT is concerned about preserving.	6/13/2011	The project area has portions of intact forest and significant trees: adjacent to the proposed location of the stormwater pond (SW portion of the project), street trees along the roadway at the SW portion of the intersection, and a patch of forest at the NW portion of the project.

US 2 / Rice Road Intersection - Safety Improvements
RFP Questions and Answers # 2 - July 7, 2011

KEY: New/ Updated

Question or RFI #	RFP Reference	Question / RFI	Date Received	Answer
8	N/A	Can we get the following missing/omitted cad reference files? Rice_BP_ROW.dgn; US2MP15to31.sid (aerial); Rice_BP_WorkingFile.dgn; Landscaping design base; Illumination design base; Pavement marking/paving/drainage call outs (if they exist); Rice_BP_ROW_PK.dgn; Rice_BP_AT.dgn	6/13/2011	<p>The following CADD reference files will be part of appendix A2 updated by Addendum # 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Rice_BP_ROW.dgn * Rice_BP_EL.dgn * Rice_PS_EL.dgn * Rice_BP_LA.dgn * Rice_PS_LA.dgn <p>The following CADD file has been provided as part of the RFP in Appendix A2 "Aerial Photo.dgn".</p> <p>The following CADD reference files are the design office's working files and will not be provided because they contain no information.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Rice_BP_WorkingFile.dgn * Rice_BP_ROW_PK.dgn <p>The Pavement marking/paving/drainage call outs CADD files are not available.</p>
9	2.1.1.8	Where is the "Impact Line" from 2.1.1.8 defined?	6/13/2011	The limits defined by the Design Builder which the limits of the clear and grub.
10	2.7.4.3	Line 9 what is the limits of the ENTER AND EXIST LEGS.	6/16/2011	The limits of "ENTER AND EXIST LEGS" are defined in the WSDOT DM section 1320.03 Definition as Approach lanes and Departure lanes
11	N/A	Can you post approved Right of Way plan online	6/16/2011	See Appendix R1
12	Appendix L1, Page 3	Appendix L1, Page 3 has a Gateway Monument Sign detail that states "Designer shall work with City of Sultan and WSDOT to determine location of gateway monument". We question whether "working" with the city and WSDOT will require any special design process. Is it possible to see what the gateway monument sign will look like at this time?	6/13/2011	Proposers can consult with city of Sultan to find out any information regarding the gateway monument sign.
13	N/A	Where is the sid.dgn file?		The sid.dgn file is provided in Appendix A2 as "Aerial Photo.dgn".
14	N/A	Where is the the landscape base plan?		The landscape base plan has no information. All landscape information are included in the sheet file that has been provided in Addendum 1 as "Rice_PS_LA.dgn".
15	Appendix M1	Referring to Appendix M1 Plans, there appears to be a drainage conflict at STA 319+00. At this location the proposed pipe to the detention pond crosses the ditch at approximately the same invert elevation. The pipe would be exposed, and disrupt runoff within the ditch. According to the preliminary design, the pond bottom is 197. The pipe into the pond (Structure DR1-5) contains inverts of 197.41 and 197.19. The ditch parallel to US2 begins and ends at STA 320+86 to 314+60 with inverts 197.78 and 195.9. With these inverts the approximate ditch elevation at STA 319+00 would be 197.22. Will this be acceptable by WSDOT?	6/30/2011	Appendix M1 is a conceptual design. The Design-Builder shall develop the drairage design in accordance to section 2.14 of the RFP document.

US 2 / Rice Road Intersection - Safety Improvements
RFP Questions and Answers # 2 - July 7, 2011

KEY: New/ Updated

Question or RFI #	RFP Reference	Question / RFI	Date Received	Answer
16	Appendix M1	Referring to Appendix M1 Plans, looking at x-sections along Rice Road at STA 21+00 to 21+50, due to limited ROW there seems to be a wall with a sidewalk above. For fall protection, will WSDOT require guardrail or fencing along this area. Is it WSDOT's intent for this to be a pedestrian walkway? Also, Will WSDOT consider this area to be within the clearzone?	6/30/2011	The four-foot wide section behind the curb is considered as roadway shoulder. According to WSDOT Design Manual Section 1510.05(3), July 2010, a four-foot wide shoulder is acceptable where pedestrian activity is minimal. Refer section 2.11 of the RFP document for clear zone and other roadway design requirements.
17	Chapter 2 Section 2.18	How does the new ITS device communicate back to WSDOT's hub? Who is responsible for this work?	7/6/2011	In the context of this project, the existing VMS cabinet is to be considered the "WSDOT hub". This project's contractor is responsible to provide this connection.
18	Chapter 2 Section 2.18	The new CCTV is to be connected to an existing hub. Does the hub currently have capacity to add the new camera, or will the Design-Builder be required to add equipment to the hub, such as a 10-channel digital video transmitter and Vicon EIA-422 combiner?	7/6/2011	A 10-channel transmitter and Vicon EIA-422 combiner are not required in this project.